

Non linear realizations of isometry groups, conformal algebras and geodesics in Anti-de Sitter like spaces ¹

Adrián R. Lugo
lugo@dartagnan.fisica.unlp.edu.ar

*Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas
Universidad Nacional de La Plata
C.C. 67, (1900) La Plata, Argentina*

Abstract

We present the explicit global realization of the isometries of anti-de Sitter like spaces of signature (d_-, d_+) , and their algebras in the space of functions on the pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space $SO(d_- + 1, d_+)/SO(d_-, d_+)$. The process of going to the invariant boundaries leads to the realization of the corresponding conformal groups and algebras. We compute systematically the geodesics in these spaces by considering the coset representation of them.

1 Introduction

Anti de Sitter spaces (AdS) were left aside initially in General Relativity in detriment of de Sitter (dS) spaces due to the (dubious) interpretation of the negative cosmological constant that supports them. A posteriori were relived in the context of Supergravity as possible vacuum solutions in compactification mechanisms [1] and the study of black hole thermodynamics on them [2]. Emphasis was certainly put in $(1, 3)$ signatures and euclidean versions in the quantum gravity context.

However during the past year a huge amount of work rounding AdS spaces in different dimensions was made in relation with Maldacena's conjectures relating on-shell quantum gravity theories on AdS_{p+1} with off-shell conformal field theories (CFT) that live on its p dimensional boundary [3]. The reason behind this relation can be heuristically found in the fact [3], [4] that any theory of gravity with a vacuum value of the metric tensor given by $d + 1$ -dimensional AdS space, having in mind the existence of a time-like boundary, should depend on boundary conditions defined on the d dimensional boundary

¹This work was partially supported by CONICET, Argentina.

manifold and should realize the isometry group of this space that becomes automatically the conformal group acting on the boundary. So it seems natural that the degrees of freedom living on the boundary should realize this symmetry. Of course things are not so easy, the growing number of people working on the field extended in many directions a representation of this fact, neither obvious [5].

It is the aim of this paper to clarify several aspects of this relation from the purely mathematical point of view, particularly the group actions on this spaces and their relation with the corresponding ones on the boundaries, and to study geodesics on them, all in the general case of (d_-, d_+) arbitrary signature (since now on AdS_{d_-, d_+}), generalizing in some cases results known in cases of earlier physical interest [7].

2 Review of AdS_{d_-, d_+} spaces

Several definitions of AdS_{d_-, d_+} spaces are possible (in Section 5 we will introduce another different to that given here useful for our purposes there) and many parametrizations of them were introduced in the literature, each one useful in particular contexts. For sake of completeness and later use we believe pertinent to give a brief summary of them.

2.1 The defining coordinates

Let us consider cartesian coordinates $\{w^M, M = -d_-, \dots, 0, \dots, d_+\}$ in $\mathfrak{R}^{1+d_-+d_+}$ with $d_{\pm} \geq 0$, and endows it with the minkowskian metric

$$\eta_{d_-, d_+} = \eta_{MN} dw^M dw^N, \quad \eta \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1_{d_-+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{d_+} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

This is a maximally symmetric space (MSS) with the pseudo-Poincaré isometry group $ISO(d_- + 1, d_+)$. The AdS_{d_-, d_+} space is introduced as the submanifold defined by the constraint

$$\eta_{MN} w^M w^N = -r_0^2, \quad r_0 > 0 \quad (2.2)$$

where r_0 is the radius (curvature scale) of the space, with the metric G induced by (2.1). Clearly the constraint respects only $SO(d_- + 1, d_+)$ invariance and then it becomes the isometry group of AdS_{d_-, d_+} ; reduction of the dimensionality combines with the reduction of symmetry to make it also a MSS. As such its curvature tensor verifies ²

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{abcd} &= r_0^{-2} (G_{ad} G_{bc} - G_{ac} G_{bd}) \\ \mathcal{R}_{ab} &= \frac{2}{d_- + d_+ - 2} \lambda G_{ab} \\ \mathcal{R} &= -r_0^{-2} (d_- + d_+ - 1) (d_- + d_+) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

²We adopt the conventions of [6]; also the convention of rising and lowering indices with the corresponding (from the context) η -matrix is implicit all along the paper.

where $\lambda = -(2r_0^2)^{-1} (d_- + d_+ - 1) (d_- + d_+ - 2)$ is the cosmological constant. In this defining coordinates we can solve for one of the coordinates, i.e.

$$w^{-d_-} = \pm (w^\mu w_\mu + r_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.4)$$

and parametrize (each sheet of) AdS_{d_-, d_+} by

$$\{w^\mu, \mu = -d_- + 1, \dots, 0, \dots, d_+\} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{w}_- \\ \vec{w}_+ \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_-} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_+} \quad (2.5)$$

The metric takes the form

$$G = \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{w_\mu w_\nu}{w^\rho w_\rho + r_0^2} \right) dw^\mu dw^\nu \quad (2.6)$$

In the euclidean case $d_- = 0$ the topology corresponds to a two-sheeted hyperboloid with basis in $w^0 = \pm r_0$; we will consider the connected component given by the $+$ sign in (2.4), the sheet based on $w^0 = +r_0$ with naive topology $\mathbb{R}^{d_+}$. If instead $d_+ = 0$ the topology is compact corresponding to a S^{d_-} of radius r_0 and “wrong” sign metric. In the general case $d_\pm > 0$ the topology is $S^{d_-} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_+}$ corresponding to a hyperboloid, the (non) compact directions being (space) time like. It is important to note that the solution of (2.4) imposes the condition

$$w^\mu w_\mu + r_0^2 > 0 \quad (2.7)$$

2.2 The plane coordinates

They are defined by the following one-to-one map

$$z^\mu = \frac{w^\mu}{1 + \left(1 + \frac{w^\rho w_\rho}{r_0^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \longleftrightarrow w^\mu = \frac{2 z^\mu}{1 - \frac{z^\rho z_\rho}{r_0^2}} \quad (2.8)$$

In terms of them the metric takes the simple diagonal form where the signature is manifest,

$$G = \frac{4}{\left(1 - \frac{z^\rho z_\rho}{r_0^2}\right)^2} \eta_{\mu\nu} dz^\mu dz^\nu \quad (2.9)$$

The definition (2.7) together with (2.8) is then equivalent to

$$-r_0^2 < z^\mu z_\mu < r_0^2 \quad (2.10)$$

Let us remember that unless $d_- = 0$ these coordinates cover only one-half of AdS_{d_-, d_+} ; in fact from the relations

$$z^\rho z_\rho = \frac{w^\rho w_\rho}{\left(1 + \left(1 + \frac{w^\rho w_\rho}{r_0^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2} \longleftrightarrow w^\rho w_\rho = \frac{4 z^\rho z_\rho}{\left(1 - \frac{z^\rho z_\rho}{r_0^2}\right)^2} \quad (2.11)$$

we can have

$$w^{-d_-} = \pm r_0 \frac{r_0^2 + z^\rho z_\rho}{r_0^2 - z^\rho z_\rho} \quad (2.12)$$

corresponding to the $\pm$ choice in (2.4).

2.3 The global coordinates

Given the constraint (2.2) it is natural to introduce in our context hyperbolic coordinates covering the sector of $w^M w_M < 0$ of $\mathcal{M}_{d_-+1, d_+}$ space as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} w^{-d_-} \\ \vec{w}_- \end{pmatrix} &= r \cosh r_L \check{n}_- \\ \vec{w}_+ &= r \sinh r_L \check{n}_+ \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where $r > 0$, $r_L \geq 0$, and $\check{n}_\pm^t \check{n}_\pm = 1$, so $\check{n}_-$, $\check{n}_+$ define points in S^{d_-} , S^{d_+-1} respectively (the case $d_+ = 0$ is handled by putting $r_L \equiv 0$). Obviously in this coordinates the constraint (2.2) is equivalent to fix $r = r_0$; the metric is written as

$$G = r_0^2 \left(-\cosh^2 r_L d^2 \Omega_{d_-} + d^2 r_L + \sinh^2 r_L d^2 \Omega_{d_+-1} \right) \quad (2.14)$$

where $d^2 \Omega_p$ stands for the standard measure of (radius 1) S^p . The signature as well as the topology is manifest in this form, with $\check{n}_-$ and $(r_L, \check{n}_+)$ parametrizing S^{d_-} and $\mathbb{R}^{d_+}$ respectively. The “+” (“−”) sign in (2.4) corresponds to consider the semi-sphere of S^{d_-} containing the north (south) pole.

It is common in general relativity contexts [2] to introduce the radial variable

$$\begin{aligned} r_H &= \sinh r_L, \quad r_H \geq 0 \\ G &= r_0^2 \left(-(1 + r_H^2) d^2 \Omega_{d_-} + \frac{d^2 r_H}{1 + r_H^2} + r_H^2 d^2 \Omega_{d_+-1} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where the near horizon geometry $r_H \sim 0$ is manifestly minkowskian.

Another variant is to introduce

$$\begin{aligned} r_H &= \tan \rho, \quad 0 \leq \rho < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ G &= r_0^2 \sec^2 \rho \left(-d^2 \Omega_{d_-} + d^2 \rho + \sin^2 \rho d^2 \Omega_{d_+-1} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

which shows that AdS_{d_-, d_+} is conformal to the geometry product of a time-like S^{d_-} and a “trumpet”, the well known (for (1, 3) signature) Einstein static universe (for exactness, one half of it [7]).

2.4 The Poincaré coordinates

In $\mathcal{M}_{d_-+1, d_+}$ are introduced in terms of (w^M) the following coordinates ($d_+ > 0$)

$$\begin{cases} x^\alpha = r_0 (w^{-d_-} + w^{d_+})^{-1} w^\alpha \\ x^+ = r_0^2 (w^{-d_-} + w^{d_+})^{-1} \\ V = r_0^{-2} (w^{-d_-} - w^{d_+}) \end{cases} \longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} w^{-d_-} = \frac{r_0^2}{2} ((x^+)^{-1} + V) \\ w^\alpha = r_0 (x^+)^{-1} x^\alpha \\ w^{d_+} = \frac{r_0^2}{2} ((x^+)^{-1} - V) \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

where $\alpha = -d_- + 1, \dots, 0, \dots, d_+ - 1$. The constraint (2.2) looks

$$-r_0^2 (x^+)^{-1} V + (x^+)^{-2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha x^\beta + 1 = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

and solving for V the metric reads in Poincaré parametrization ($x^+ \equiv x^{d_+}$)

$$G = \frac{r_0^2}{(x^+)^2} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \quad (2.19)$$

These coordinates were considered in [4] to do computations in the boundary theory; the reason of this fact should be clear in Section 3.

A little modification of this system of coordinates, namely

$$\begin{aligned} U &= (x^+)^{-1} \\ G &= r_0^2 \left(\frac{d^2 U}{U^2} + U^2 \eta_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

was introduced in [3] where it appears in a natural way coming from the usual parametrization of p -brane geometries in the decoupling limit.

2.5 The boundary of AdS_{d_-, d_+} spaces

For $d_+ > 0$ we saw that AdS_{d_-, d_+} is a non compact space. It is possible however to adscribe to it a boundary through Penrose's concept of conformal infinity. The rough idea (see [7] for exact statements) consists in trying to map the space-time at hand to a (possible finite) region in which null curves are at $\pi/4$, and in such a way that both geometries are Weyl-related. Then causality concepts will coincide in both spaces and we should be able to identify the conformal boundaries where any curve should born and die.

In our case we have the job made by considering the form (2.16) of the metric; from there it follows that the boundary corresponds to the hypersurface defined by $\rho = \pi/2$, topologically homeomorphic to $S^{d_-} \times S^{d_+-1}$.³ It is time-like in character; when $d_- = 1$ this fact is responsible among other things for the lack of global hyperbolicity (if $d_- > 1$ clearly the concept of a Cauchy surface is not obvious).

Note also that this definition is the same as to consider the $r_L = \infty$ limiting surface, the same way in spirit in which the boundary was introduced in [4]. In plane coordinates it corresponds to the hypersurface

$$z^\rho z_\rho = r_0^2 \quad (2.21)$$

In Poincaré coordinates it is sitted at $x^+ = 0$ ($U = \infty$); however some points "at infinity" should be added to the space parametrized by (x^α) to get the conformal compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{d_-, d_+-1}$, i.e. $S^{d_-} \times S^{d_+-1}$. Of course this fact a bit obscure in this coordinatization follows from the relations (2.17) and becomes evident from the action of $SO(d_- + 1, d_+)$ on the boundary given in the next section.

3 The $SO(d_- + 1, d_+)$ action

The action of the isometry group on AdS_{d_-, d_+} is that induced by the linear action on $\mathcal{M}_{d_-+1, d_+}$. Let $\Lambda_{d_-+1, d_+} \in SO(d_- + 1, d_+)$; it admits a coset decomposition under its

³ When $d_+ = 1$ the boundary consists of two disconnected S^{d_-} ($S^0 \sim Z_2$).

maximal compact subgroup $SO(d_- + 1) \times SO(d_+)$ in the form [8]

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{d_-+1,d_+}(S, P, Q) &= K_{d_-+1,d_+}(S) H(P, Q) \\
K_{d_-+1,d_+}(S) &= \begin{pmatrix} (1 + SS^t)^{\frac{1}{2}} & S \\ S^t & (1 + S^t S)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & N \\ N^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
S &\equiv \frac{\sinh(N N^t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(N N^t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} N \in \mathfrak{R}^{(d_-+1) \times d_+} \\
H(P, Q) &= \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix}, \quad P \in SO(d_- + 1), \quad Q \in SO(d_+) \quad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

The linear action on $(w^M) \equiv (w^{-d_-}, \vec{w}_-, \vec{w}_+) \in \mathcal{M}_{d_-+1,d_+}$ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} {}^\Lambda w^{-d_-} \\ {}^\Lambda \vec{w}_- \end{pmatrix} &= (1 + SS^t)^{\frac{1}{2}} P \begin{pmatrix} w^{-d_-} \\ \vec{w}_- \end{pmatrix} + S Q \vec{w}_+ \\
{}^\Lambda \vec{w}_+ &= S^t P \begin{pmatrix} w^{-d_-} \\ \vec{w}_- \end{pmatrix} + (1 + S^t S)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q \vec{w}_+ \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

By restricting ourselves to the submanifold (2.2) and using (2.4) we get the non linear realization searched for. This is easier accomplished in the global coordinates $(r_L, \check{n}_-, \check{n}_+)$ of (2.13); in terms of them the transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sinh {}^\Lambda r_L &= |\cosh r_L S^t P \check{n}_- + \sinh r_L (1 + S^t S)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q \check{n}_+| \\
{}^\Lambda \check{n}_- &= \frac{\cosh r_L (1 + SS^t)^{\frac{1}{2}} P \check{n}_- + \sinh r_L S Q \check{n}_+}{|\cosh r_L (1 + SS^t)^{\frac{1}{2}} P \check{n}_- + \sinh r_L S Q \check{n}_+|} \\
{}^\Lambda \check{n}_+ &= \frac{\cosh r_L S^t P \check{n}_- + \sinh r_L (1 + S^t S)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q \check{n}_+}{|\cosh r_L S^t P \check{n}_- + \sinh r_L (1 + S^t S)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q \check{n}_+|} \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

From here it is clear that the boundary results invariant under the $SO(d_- + 1, d_+)$ transformations; in fact $r_L = \infty$ is mapped to ${}^\Lambda r_L = \infty$ while the variables in the boundary manifold realize the isometry in the way

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^\Lambda \check{n}_- \Big|_{r_L=\infty} &= \frac{(1 + SS^t)^{\frac{1}{2}} P \check{n}_- + S Q \check{n}_+}{|(1 + SS^t)^{\frac{1}{2}} P \check{n}_- + S Q \check{n}_+|} \\
{}^\Lambda \check{n}_+ \Big|_{r_L=\infty} &= \frac{S^t P \check{n}_- + (1 + S^t S)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q \check{n}_+}{|S^t P \check{n}_- + (1 + S^t S)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q \check{n}_+|} \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

These expressions provide a global, non linear realization of generalized conformal transformations. This realization is made not on $\mathcal{M}_{d_-,d_+-1}$ but on the conformal compactification of it, i.e. $S^{d_-} \times S^{d_+-1}$. For example in the euclidean case $d_- = 0$ there is no $\check{n}_-$ and the boundary S^{d_+-1} is parametrized by $\check{n}_+ \equiv \vec{n}$; with $S^t \equiv \vec{S}$ we have

$${}^\Lambda \vec{n} = \frac{Q \vec{n} + \left((1 + |\vec{S}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right) \vec{S}^t Q \vec{n} \vec{S}}{(1 + (\vec{S}^t Q \vec{n})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (3.5)$$

The (linear) transformations $\vec{S} = \vec{0}$ with $Q \in SO(d_+)$ are just the isometries of S^{d_+-1} and those with $Q = 1$ corresponds to scale and special conformal transformations parametrized by $\vec{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_+}$; both generate the conformal group $SO(1, d_+)$. But although compact and clear in meaning they are not enough useful for most current applications. For this we move to get the realization in Poincaré coordinates.

From (2.17) it should be clear that the parametrization (3.1) is not a convenient one. Instead we will proceed in two steps. First we decompose $SO(d_-+1, d_+)$ under $SO(d_-, d_+)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{d_-+1, d_+}(\vec{S}, Q_{d_-, d_+}) &= K_{d_-+1, d_+}(\vec{S}) H(1, Q_{d_-, d_+}) \\ K_{d_-+1, d_+}(\vec{S}) &= \begin{pmatrix} C & \vec{S}^t \eta_{d_-, d_+} \\ \vec{S} & 1_{d_-, d_+} + \frac{\vec{S} \vec{S}^t \eta_{d_-, d_+}}{1+C} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $Q_{d_-, d_+} \in SO(d_-, d_+)$, $\vec{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_-+d_+}$, and $C^2 = 1 + \vec{S}^t \eta_{d_-, d_+} \vec{S} \geq 0$ define the coset manifold. In what follows we will consider separately the $-d_-$ and d_+ directions, $w^{\pm d_{\pm}} \equiv w^{\pm}$, and vectors, η matrices, etc., will be $(d_- + d_+ - 1)$ -dimensional; scalar products of vectors will be wrt $\eta_{d_-, d_+-1} \equiv \eta$, i.e. $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 \equiv \vec{v}_1^t \eta \vec{v}_2$. In this spirit we introduce

$$\vec{S} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \vec{s}_1 \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad C^2 = 1 + \vec{s}_1^2 + \sigma^2 \quad (3.7)$$

With this in mind the second step is to decompose Q_{d_-, d_+} under $SO(d_-, d_+ - 1)$ in a similar fashion

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{d_-, d_+}(\vec{s}_2, Q) &= K_{d_-, d_+}(\vec{s}_2) H(Q, 1) \\ K_{d_-, d_+}(\vec{s}_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\vec{s}_2^t \vec{s}_2 \eta}{1+c_2} & \vec{s}_2 \\ -\vec{s}_2^t \eta & c_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $c_2^2 = 1 - \vec{s}_2^2 \geq 0$. By plugging (3.7), (3.8) in (3.6) we get another parametrization of $SO(d_-+1, d_+)$ different from (3.1) in terms of a $SO(d_-, d_+ - 1)$ matrix Q , two real $(d_- + d_+ - 1)$ -dimensional vectors $\vec{s}_1, \vec{s}_2$ and a real number σ .

As in (3.2) is straightforward to get the linear transformations of the $(w^-, \vec{w}, w^+)$ -coordinates. With them and (2.17), (2.18) we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2x^+}{\Lambda x^+} \frac{\Lambda \vec{x}}{r_0} &= \left(1 + \frac{\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2 + \sigma c_2}{C+1}\right) \vec{s}_1 + \vec{s}_2 + \left(1 - \frac{\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2 + \sigma c_2}{C+1}\right) \vec{s}_1 - \vec{s}_2 \frac{\vec{x}^2 + x^{+2}}{r_0^2} \\ &+ 2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{\vec{s}_1 \vec{s}_1^t}{1+C} - \frac{\vec{s}_2 \vec{s}_2^t}{1+c_2} - \left(\frac{\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2}{c_2+1} + \sigma\right) \frac{\vec{s}_1 \vec{s}_2^t}{1+C}\right) \eta\right] Q \frac{\vec{x}}{r_0} \\ \frac{2x^+}{\Lambda x^+} &= C + \sigma + c_2 + (\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2 + \sigma c_2) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{C+1}\right) \\ &+ 2 \left[\left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{C+1}\right) \vec{s}_1 - \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{C+1}\right) \left(\frac{\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2}{c_2+1} + \sigma\right) \vec{s}_2\right] \cdot Q \frac{\vec{x}}{r_0} \\ &+ \left(C + \sigma - c_2 - (\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2 + \sigma c_2) \left(1 + \frac{\sigma}{C+1}\right)\right) \frac{\vec{x}^2 + x^{+2}}{r_0^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

These are the expressions we liked to find. They realize non linearly the group of isometries $SO(d_- + 1, d_+)$ on the whole AdS_{d_-, d_+} space, and act on the invariant boundary $x^+ = 0$ (modulo compactifications) in the usual way. More explicitly, it is not difficult to show that the standard conformal transformations are given by

- Pseudo-Poincaré transformations.

$$(P, \vec{a}) \vec{x} = P \vec{x} + \vec{a} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} Q = P \\ \vec{s}_1 = r_0^{-1} \vec{a} \\ \vec{s}_2 = (1 + \frac{\vec{a}^2}{4r_0^2})^{-1} \frac{\vec{a}}{r_0} \\ \sigma = -\frac{\vec{a}^2}{2r_0^2} \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

- Dilations

$$\lambda \vec{x} = \lambda^{-1} \vec{x} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} Q = 1 \\ \vec{s}_1 = \vec{0} \\ \vec{s}_2 = \vec{0} \\ \sigma = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}) \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

- Special conformal transformations

$$\vec{b} \vec{x} = \frac{\vec{x} + \vec{x}^2 \vec{b}}{1 + 2 \vec{b} \cdot \vec{x} + \vec{b}^2 \vec{x}^2} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} Q = 1 \\ \vec{s}_1 = r_0 \vec{b} \\ \vec{s}_2 = -(1 + \frac{r_0^2}{4} \vec{b}^2)^{-1} r_0 \vec{b} \\ \sigma = \frac{\vec{b}^2}{2r_0^2} \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

4 The AdS_{d_-, d_+} and conformal algebras

Here we consider the representation of the corresponding algebras and their meaning in each context.

The $SO(d_- + 1, d_+)$ Lie algebra is ($X_{MN} = -X_{NM}$)

$$[X_{MN}, X_{PQ}] = \eta_{NP} X_{MQ} + \eta_{MQ} X_{NP} - (M \leftrightarrow N) \quad (4.1)$$

An arbitrary element $\delta\Lambda$ in the vector representation obeys: $\delta\Lambda^t \eta + \eta \delta\Lambda = 0$, and then $\delta\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \delta\Lambda^{MN} V_{MN}$, where the generators in this representation are

$$\begin{aligned} V_{MN} &\equiv V(X_{MN}) = E_{MN} - E_{NM} \\ (E_M^N)^P_Q &\equiv \delta_M^P \delta_Q^N \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

The infinitesimal generators acting on functions in $\mathcal{M}_{d_-+1, d_+}$ are introduced in the standard way in order to obey (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\delta\Lambda} w^M &\equiv -\delta\Lambda^{PQ} \hat{X}_{PQ} w^M \\ \hat{X}_{MN} &= w_M \partial_N - w_N \partial_M \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Then the realization of the generators on AdS_{d_-,d_+} in (w^μ) coordinates are gotten by taking into account the constraint (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_{\mu\nu} &= w_\mu \partial_\nu - w_\nu \partial_\mu \\ \hat{p}_\mu &= \pm (w^\rho w_\rho + r_0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_\mu = \hat{X}_{\mu-}|_{AdS}\end{aligned}\quad (4.4)$$

and obeys the AdS_{d_-,d_+} algebra

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{X}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{X}_{\rho\sigma}] &= \eta_{\nu\rho} \hat{X}_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma} \hat{X}_{\nu\rho} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \\ [\hat{p}_\rho, \hat{X}_{\mu\nu}] &= \eta_{\mu\rho} \hat{p}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\rho} \hat{p}_\mu \\ [\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] &= \hat{X}_{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}\quad (4.5)$$

Now let us see the realization in Poincaré coordinates. From (3.9) the infinitesimal transformations are

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\delta\Lambda} \vec{x} &= \delta P \vec{x} + \delta \vec{a} - \delta \lambda \vec{x} + \delta \vec{b} (\vec{x}^2 + (x^+)^2) - 2 \delta \vec{b} \cdot \vec{x} \vec{x} \\ \delta_{\delta\Lambda} x^+ &= -(\delta \lambda + 2 \delta \vec{b} \cdot \vec{x}) x^+\end{aligned}\quad (4.6)$$

where in view of (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) we have introduced

$$\begin{aligned}\delta P &= \delta Q \\ \delta \vec{a} &= \frac{r_0}{2} (\delta \vec{s}_1 + \delta \vec{s}_2) \\ \delta \lambda &= \delta \sigma \\ \delta \vec{b} &= \frac{1}{2r_0} (\delta \vec{s}_1 - \delta \vec{s}_2)\end{aligned}\quad (4.7)$$

and according to the definition in the first line of (4.3) we get the infinitesimal generators associated to $(\delta P, \delta \vec{a}, \delta \lambda, \delta \vec{b})$ respectively as $(\partial_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu})$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_{\alpha\beta} &= x_\alpha \partial_\beta - x_\beta \partial_\alpha = \hat{X}_{\alpha\beta} \\ \hat{P}_\alpha &= -\partial_\alpha = \frac{1}{r_0} (\hat{p}_\alpha + \hat{X}_{\alpha+}) \\ \hat{D} &= x^\beta \partial_\beta + x^+ \partial_+ = \hat{p}_+ \\ \hat{K}_\alpha &= \left(-(\vec{x}^2 + (x^+)^2) \delta_\alpha^\beta + 2 x_\alpha x^\beta \right) \partial_\beta + 2 x_\alpha x^+ \partial_+ = r_0 (\hat{p}_\alpha - \hat{X}_{\alpha+})\end{aligned}\quad (4.8)$$

These operators obeys the $SO(d_- + 1, d_+)$ algebra in the form

$$\begin{aligned}[J_{\alpha\beta}, J_{\gamma\delta}] &= \eta_{\beta\gamma} J_{\alpha\delta} + \eta_{\alpha\delta} J_{\beta\gamma} - (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) \\ [\hat{P}_\gamma, \hat{J}_{\alpha\beta}] &= \eta_{\alpha\gamma} \hat{P}_\beta - \eta_{\beta\gamma} \hat{P}_\alpha \\ [\hat{K}_\gamma, \hat{J}_{\alpha\beta}] &= \eta_{\alpha\gamma} \hat{K}_\beta - \eta_{\beta\gamma} \hat{K}_\alpha \\ [\hat{P}_\alpha, \hat{D}] &= \hat{P}_\alpha \\ [\hat{K}_\alpha, \hat{D}] &= -\hat{K}_\alpha \\ [\hat{K}_\alpha, \hat{P}_\beta] &= 2 (\eta_{\alpha\beta} \hat{D} + \hat{J}_{\alpha\beta}) \\ 0 &= [\hat{P}_\alpha, \hat{P}_\beta] = [\hat{K}_\alpha, \hat{K}_\beta] = [\hat{D}, \hat{J}_{\alpha\beta}]\end{aligned}\quad (4.9)$$

which is the standard form of the conformal algebra. In conclusion, the standard $SO(d_- + 1, d_+)$ linear realization leads to the usual representation of their infinitesimal generators (4.3) in the space of functions on $\mathcal{M}_{d_-+1, d_+}$; the non-linear realization instead yields the representation (4.5) of them in the space of functions on AdS_{d_-, d_+} , which in turns take the form (4.8) in Poincaré coordinates where the conformal algebra point of view is clear, in particular at the boundary $x^+ = 0$.

5 Coset representation and geodesics

AdS_{d_-, d_+} spaces can be defined as pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous symmetric spaces, i.e. a coset space G/H ; more precisely: $AdS_{d_-, d_+} \sim SO(d_- + 1, d_+)/SO(d_-, d_+)$. This can be seen directly from the coset decomposition introduced in (3.6); let us rewrite the coset element in the form

$$\begin{aligned} K(\vec{S}) &= \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{u}^t \eta \\ \vec{u} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C & \vec{S}^t \eta \\ \vec{S} & 1 + \frac{\vec{S} \vec{S}^t \eta}{1+C} \end{pmatrix} \\ \vec{S} &= \frac{\sinh \sqrt{\vec{u}^2}}{\sqrt{\vec{u}^2}} \vec{u} \\ -1 &= -C^2 + \vec{S}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

From here and (2.2) we can identify our defining coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} w^- &\equiv r_0 C \\ w^\mu &\equiv r_0 S^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Furthermore it is possible to show [8] that the metric induced on it by the invariant metric on $SO(d_- + 1, d_+)$ is given by (2.6).

There exists an elegant method in this context to get the geodesics wrt this metric [8]. It consists simply in lifting up to the coset element the geodesics through the null element in the Lie algebra wrt the Cartan-Killing metric on it. In this way we obtain a geodesic through the identity in the coset space that we identify with the point $(w^- = r_0, \vec{w} = \vec{0})$ in AdS_{d_-, d_+} .⁴ In order to get a geodesic through an arbitrary point we have to act by left action with an element of the coset. Let us show how all this works here.

First of all let us consider geodesics through the origin of AdS_{d_-, d_+} . They are given by (5.1) with $\vec{u}$ replaced by

$$\vec{u}(\tau) = \vec{u} \tau \quad (5.3)$$

where the “velocity” $\vec{u}$ parametrizes the family. This is so because (5.3) are the geodesics through the null element in the Lie algebra. Therefore according to (5.1), (5.2) the

⁴ More exactly, this is so if $d_- = 0$ according to the choice of connected component discussed in Section 2.1. If $d_- > 0$ we have two sets of geodesics if they are not time-like, one covering the region $w^- > 0$ corresponding to take $w^- = +r_0$ as the origin, and one covering the region $w^- < 0$ if starting through $w^- = -r_0$. If they are time-like instead, there is just one family that rolls up the time direction, see below.

geodesics in $AdS_{d-,d+}$ through the origin will be given in (w^μ) -coordinates by

$$\bar{w}^\mu(\tau) = r_0 \begin{cases} \sinh \omega \tau \, \check{u}^\mu, & \check{u}^2 = +1, \text{ space-like} \\ \sin \omega \tau \, \check{u}^\mu, & \check{u}^2 = -1, \text{ time-like} \\ \tau \, u^\mu, & \check{u}^2 = 0, \text{ null} \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{|\vec{u}^2|}$, $\check{u} = \vec{u}/\omega$. For sake of interpretation is useful to have them in global coordinates $(r_L, \check{n}_+, \check{n}_-)$. If $\vec{u} = (\vec{u}_-, \vec{u}_+)$ and we reintroduce the vector

$$\vec{w}_+ \equiv r_0 \sinh r_L \check{n}_+ \in \mathfrak{R}^{d+} \quad (5.5)$$

parametrizing the space-like sections of $AdS_{d-,d+}$, then

Space-like geodesics: with $\check{u}_+^2 = 1 + \check{u}_-^2 \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{w}_+(\tau) &= r_0 \check{u}_+ \sinh \omega \tau \\ \check{n}_-(\tau) &= (1 + \check{u}_+^2 \sinh^2 \omega \tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \omega \tau \\ \sinh \omega \tau \check{u}_- \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Time-like geodesics: with $\check{u}_-^2 = 1 + \check{u}_+^2 \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{w}_+(\tau) &= r_0 \check{u}_+ \sin \omega \tau \\ \check{n}_-(\tau) &= (1 + \check{u}_+^2 \sin^2 \omega \tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega \tau \\ \sin \omega \tau \check{u}_- \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Null geodesics: with $\vec{u}_+^2 = \vec{u}_-^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{w}_+(\tau) &= r_0 \vec{u}_+ \tau \\ \check{n}_-(\tau) &= (1 + \vec{u}_+^2 \tau^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau \vec{u}_- \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

From these explicit expressions it is easy for example to compute for a non-null geodesic the distance between the origin and a point at τ ; it is simply: $s(\tau) = \omega r_0 \tau$. Null geodesics reach the time-like boundary asymptotically in a conserved spatial direction $\vec{u}_+/\sqrt{\vec{u}_+^2}$. Instead time-like geodesics are bounded and periodic with period $T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega}$; they never reach the boundary.⁵

We complete the analysis given the expression of the geodesics through an arbitrary point. From the stated at the beginning of this section we need to get the map

$$\vec{S} \longrightarrow \vec{S}_0 \vec{S} \quad (5.9)$$

⁵ For $d_- = 1$, $\check{n}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t \\ \sin t \end{pmatrix}$ with $t \sim t + 2\pi$ and then they wrap the time-like S^1 and re-converge to the starting point. If, as usual made not to have closed time-like loops, S^1 is replaced by $\mathfrak{R}$, the geodesics converge to an image point and diverge from it to re-converge to another one and so on.

defined by the left action of an element in the coset in the form

$$K(\vec{S}_0) K(\vec{S}) \equiv K(\vec{S}_0 \vec{S}) H(1, Q) \quad , \quad Q \in SO(d_-, d_+) \quad (5.10)$$

Then having obtained the map $\vec{S}_0 \vec{S}$ a geodesic through $\vec{S}_0$ is just

$$\vec{S}(\tau; \vec{S}_0, \vec{u}) = \vec{S}_0 \vec{S}(\tau) \quad (5.11)$$

where $\vec{S}(\tau)$ is a geodesic passing through the origin. After a little bit of algebra we get the map in the simple form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{C}(\tau; \vec{S}_0, \vec{u}) \\ \vec{S}(\tau; \vec{S}_0, \vec{u}) \end{pmatrix} = K(\vec{S}_0) \begin{pmatrix} \vec{C}(\tau) \\ \vec{S}(\tau) \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.12)$$

From here, the identifications (5.2) and the results (5.6), (5.7), (5.8) we have the explicit whole set of geodesics in AdS_{d_-, d_+} spaces.

6 Conclusions

First of all it is worth to say that we have concentrated along the paper on AdS_{d_-, d_+} spaces, but it should be clear that dS_{d_-, d_+} ones are straightforwardly studied in our metric signature convention starting from (2.2) with a “+” sign on its r.h.s. and working on $\mathcal{M}_{d_-, d_+ + 1}$. In particular the coset representation for them is given by $SO(d_-, d_+ + 1)/SO(d_-, d_+)$.

We obtained explicit global non linear realizations of the isometry groups, equations (3.3), (3.9), by using various coset decompositions where the parameter space of the transformations is manifest at difference what happens by considering infinitesimal transformations. From these realizations issues like the conformal compactification of the boundary as well as the relation between standard generators of orthogonal, AdS and conformal algebras are evident.

Finally it is none to say that geodesics in spaces of physical interest are well-known,⁶ in particular $AdS_{1,3}$. We believed useful and instructive however to extend these results to arbitrary AdS_{d_-, d_+} spaces giving the explicit expressions obtained through the coset space method without any reference to the metric.

We think our results can be of usefulness among other things in the non trivial problem of fixing boundary conditions in the search of solutions of gravity-matter classical field theories in the presence of a non zero cosmological constant [9].

Acknowledments

We thank to Fidel Schaposnik for discussions and references.

⁶ See for example reference [7], chapter 5.

References

- [1] H. J. Kim, L. J. Romans and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. **D32** (1985), 389.
- [2] S. Hawking and D. Page, Comm. Math. Phys. **87** (1983), 577.
- [3] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200.
- [4] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150.
- [5] J. Polchinski and L. Susskind, “Puzzles and paradoxes about holography”, hep-th/9902182.
- [6] R. Wald, “General Relativity”, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago (1984).
- [7] S. Hawking and G. Ellis, “The large scale structure of the Universe”, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1973).
- [8] R. Gilmore, “Lie Groups, Lie algebras, and some of their applications”, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1974).
- [9] A. Lugo and F. Schaposnik, work in progress.